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ABSTRACT

Group development is the first and most important step for the success of collaborative problem solving (CPS) learning in the digital learning environment (DLE). A literacy study is needed for studies in the intelligent agent domain for group development of collaborative learning in DLE. This paper is a systematic literature review (SLR) of intelligent agents for group formation from 2001 to 2019. This paper aims to find answers to 4 (four) research questions, namely: 1) What components to develop intelligent agents for group development; 2) What is the intelligent agent model for group development; 3) How are the metrics for measuring intelligent agent performance; and 4) How is the Framework for developing intelligent agent. The components of the intelligent agent model consist of: member attributes, group attributes (group constraints), and intelligent techniques. This research refers to Srba and Bielikova's group development model. The stages of the model are formation, performing and closing. An intelligent agent model at the formation stage. A performance metric for the intelligent agent at the performance stage. The framework for developing an intelligent agent is a reference to the stages of development, component selection techniques, and performance measurement of an intelligent agent.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Collaborative problem solving (CPS) learning based on a digital learning environment (DLE) is an effort to realize 21st century skills [1-5]. Group development is the first and most important step for the success of CPS learning in DLE [6-10]. A literacy study is needed for studies in the intelligent agent domain for group development of collaborative learning in DLE. This paper is a systematic literature review (SLR) of intelligent agents for group formation from 2001 to 2019. Source articles from ACM Digital Library, Science Direct, Springer Link, IEEE Xplore Digital Library, ProQuest, and EBSCOhost. The research objectives are represented in 4 research questions and the outcomes in Table 1 below. The answers to the 4 research questions above are based on the results of the processing and analysis of 26 SLR papers.

The intelligent agent component map consists of member attributes, group attributes, and intelligent techniques [7-9, 11-14]. The member attribute component represents the problem of the group member population. Components of group attribute (constraint group formation) are methods, constraints, and rules.
for group development [15]. The component of engineering intelligence represents intelligent technology to increase efficiency and effectiveness in group development [13, 16-18].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research question (RQ)</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RQ1 What components are there for developing intelligent agents for group development on DLE?</td>
<td>Map of intelligent agent components for group development on DLE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RQ2 Development of an intelligent agent model at the group development stage?</td>
<td>The intelligent agent model at the group development stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RQ3 What are the intelligent agent performance metrics at the group development stage?</td>
<td>Intelligent agent performance metrics for group development on DLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RQ4 What is the intelligent agent development framework for group development on DLE?</td>
<td>An intelligent agent development framework for group development on DLE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Classification of group development models based on DLE are Long-term virtual groups and Sort-term virtual groups. Long-term virtual groups begin with Tuckman's model (1965), Tuckman and Jensen's model (1977) [19], and Daradoumis et al.'s model (2002) [20, 21]. Tuckman's model is a reference for Long-term virtual groups. This model proved successful for the development of long-term learning groups (for example [22]), but was not very suitable for the development of learning groups on DLE. The characteristics of this model are to build strong relationships between group members, long term, and depend on collaborative planning.

Sort-term virtual group starts with Srba and Bielikova's model (2014) [8]. This model is for short-term groups (usually in hours). The life cycle of the model is simpler than the Long-term virtual groups. Srba and Bielikova's model (2014) in Figure 1 consists of three stages: formation, performing, and closing [8]. The formation stage is the stage for group formation. Performing stage is a measure of the effectiveness of collaborative learning processes (collaboration performance) [23, 24]. And closing stage is a review and evaluation process of groups that have made. There has been no discussion of the framework for implementing intelligent agents at each stage of the model.

![Figure 1. Srba and Bielikova’s group formation model](image)

An intelligent agent for the development of study groups on DLE is classified as a virtual short-term model. This research refers to Srba&Bielikova’s group development model [8], in Figure 1. The intelligent agent model is implemented at the formation stage. The intelligent agent model consists of 3 (three) components, namely: member attributes, group attribute (constrain of group formation), and intelligent technique [7-9, 11-14]. Performance metrics for the intelligent agent at the performance stage. Performance metrics consist of 5 (five) measurements [23, 24] namely group formation time; optimization of the distribution of members in groups; Collaboration performance (CO); Knowledge; and skills.

The intelligent agent development framework is a reference to the stages of development, component selection techniques, and performance measurement of intelligent agents. The development framework consists of 5 stages, namely: 1) Understanding the problems and goals of group development; 2) Determining components for intelligent agents; 3) Development of intelligent agent software; 4) Implementation and testing, and 5) Evaluation of intelligent agents.

A detailed discussion of component mapping, intelligent agent models, performance metrics, and a framework for developing intelligent agents is discussed in chapter 3 (result and analysis). The mapping of intelligent agent components is the answer to RQ1, discussed in chapter 3.1. The intelligent agent model is the answer to RQ2, discussed in chapter 3.2. The intelligent performance metrics are the answer to RQ3, discussed in chapter 3.2. and The intelligent agent development framework is the answer to RQ4, discussed in chapter 3.3.
2. Research Method

The research methodology aims to find answers to 4 (four) research questions. The research methodology consists of 4 stages, as follows:

1) Search for SLR articles based on research questions in Table 1
2) Related searches on intelligent agent domains for the development of collaborative learning groups in DLE
3) A correspondent with experts in the domain of intelligent agent development for group development.

The paper collection is based on a search strategy based on 3 keywords and their synonyms in Table 2. Paper searches based on Category 1, Category 2, and Category 3 keywords are combined by Boolean AND (\&) operators, and for each keyword synonym for each category use the OR (II) Boolean operator.

4) Analyze search results for mapping intelligent agent components, developing intelligent agent models, performance metrics, and frameworks for developing intelligent agents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category and synonyms</th>
<th>Search string</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group formation (Category 1). Synonyms: (group formation, group development, group creation, group design, group composition, team formation, team development, team creation, team design, team composition).</td>
<td>(Group formation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results and Discussion

This chapter discusses the mapping of intelligent agent components, intelligent agent models, performance metrics, and the framework for developing intelligent agents. The mapping of intelligent agent components is discussed in chapter 3.1, the intelligent agent model is discussed in chapter 3.2, performance metrics are discussed in chapter 3.2, and the framework for developing intelligent agents is discussed in chapter 3.3.

3.1. Intelligent agent component mapping

The SLR results of intelligent agent research for group development from 2001 to 2019 are illustrated in Table 3. Search results included 111 articles from the ACM Digital Library, 170 articles from Science Direct, 387 articles from Springer Link, 318 articles from IEEE Xplore Digital Library, 312 articles from ProQuest, and 311 articles from EBSCOhost. There are 26 papers that are relevant for mapping intelligent agent components. The mapping of intelligent agent components is based on the column mapping in Table 3. Component maps include member attributes, group attributes, and intelligent techniques [7-9, 11-14].

The member attribute component represents the problem of the population of group members and the purpose of group development. Components of group attribute/constraint group formation are methods, constraints, and rules for group development [15]. The intelligent technique component represents intelligent technology to increase efficiency and effectiveness in group development [13, 16-18].

The population of Member attribute components consists of 4 articles of knowledge competence, 6 articles of learning style, 3 articles of social interaction, 3 articles of team roles, 4 articles of personal traits, and 14 articles of various attributes. Table 3 shows many alternative methods of group formation, and each alternative method has advantages and disadvantages. Each method refers to the problem of the population of group members and the purpose of group development [9].

The intelligent technique component for group development consists of 18 different algorithms. The algorithm most widely used in group development is the genetic algorithm. The level of intelligence utilizing intelligent technology includes: 1) automatic level, 2) smart level, and 3) intelligent level. Automatic Level is an attempt to automate the process of group formation. Smart level is a higher level than automatic level. At this level machines have started the process of decision making, and there is still an element...
of human intervention. The intelligent level is the highest level. The decision making process is completely taken over by the machine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Member attribute (MA)</th>
<th>Intelligent technique</th>
<th>Group attribute (constrain group formation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>[26]</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>AHC method, which is known as SLG.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>[27]</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Dynamically forming groups on the MCSCL system.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>[28]</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>The inversion method to determine the representation of dominant attributes in group members.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>[29]</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Comparison between intra-heterogeneous and Inter-homogeneous groups with 3 different algorithms.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>[30]</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Formulate various group goals based on various problems forming groups. Method by comparing 3 algorithms (exhaustive, proposed method, and random).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>[31]</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Formulate various attributes in group members based on attributes desired by teachers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>[33]</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Apply the psychological attributes of students.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>[34]</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Minimize the time taken by the teacher to create groups.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>[35]</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Use attribute programming style for group formation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>[36]</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Use the empty slot method in group formation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>[37]</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Method of forming groups dynamically on a web learning system.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>[38]</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Group formation pays attention to individual learning patterns and collaborative learning.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>[40]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Heterogeneous groups method, by comparing 3 different algorithms.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>[41]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Methods for forming heterogeneous, homogeneous, and mixed groups.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>[42]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Formation of groups is based on collecting attributes from OSN.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>[43]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>The method of utilizing the student feature model becomes the attribute model.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>[44]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Homogeneous and heterogeneous group formation methods are based on the number of groups the instructors want.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>[45]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Formation of a balanced group using the evolution algorithm, based on the role of members automatically.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>[46]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Belbin’s role method, by way of agreement with the team role.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>[47]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>The framework for group formation is based on teacher preferences.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>[48]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Method of measuring heterogeneous groups.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Formation of groups based on continuous improvement of rules.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.
MAK = Member Attribute - Knowledge Competence. MAL = Member Attribute - Learning Style. MAS = Member Attribute - Social Interaction. MAT = Member Attribute - Team Role. MAR = Member Attribute - Personal Traits.

### 3.2. Intelligent agent model

The intelligent agent model is developed based on the intelligent agent component map. The intelligent agent model consists of 3 (three) components, namely: member attributes, group attributes, and intelligent technique. The intelligent agent model is illustrated in Figure 2. The intelligent agent model is at the formation stage of the Srba and Bielikova’s group development model [8]. This model aims to find the most optimal components for developing intelligent agent software for group development. The performance of intelligent agent software for group development is measured at the performing stage. Evaluation and improvement of intelligent agent software are carried out at the closing stage.
The performance of intelligent agents is measured at the Performing stage. Metrics for measuring intelligent agent performance are described in Table 4. Intelligent performance metrics are based on 5 (five) measuring tools namely [23, 24]: Group formation time, optimizing the distribution of members in groups, Collaboration performance (CO), Knowledge, and skill.

Table 4. Metrics Performance of intelligent agent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formation</td>
<td>Group formation time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Efficiency time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Optimizing the distribution of members in groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing</td>
<td>Collaboration performance (CO)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Peer group member assessment questionnaire.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>Skills</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. An intelligent agent development framework

The intelligent agent development framework is a reference to the stages of intelligent agent development, and the most appropriate component selection technique. The intelligent agent development framework is illustrated in Figure 3 below. The intelligent agent development framework consists of 5 stages, as follows:

1. Understanding the problems and goals of group development. The initial step is understanding the problem of the existing group member population. The goal is the best hypothesis for several solutions to solve the problem. The output of this stage is defining the problem and setting goals for developing an intelligent agent software.

2. Determining components for intelligent agents. Understanding the problem and the goal of developing intelligent greatly influences the quality of the selection of intelligent components. The intelligent component map is depicted in table 3, which consists of member attributes, group attributes, and intelligent technique. The output of this stage is the selection of components for intelligent agents.

3. Development of intelligent agent software. This stage is the implementation of stages 1 and 2 into an intelligent agent software. The output of this stage is an intelligent agent software for group development.

4. Implementation and testing. Implementation and testing of intelligent agent software on research objects for group development. The output of this stage is the data from the implementation and testing of intelligent agent software.

5. Evaluation of intelligent agents. Evaluation is the result of the analysis of the implementation and testing data at the 4th stage. The output of this stage is the improvement of intelligent agent software for group development.
The framework in Figure 3 is made for reference to the development of intelligent agents for group formation. The intelligent agent model consists of 3 components, namely member attribute, group attribute (constitute group formation), and an intelligent technique. Performance metrics for intelligent agents consist of 5 (five) measuring instruments, namely group formation time; optimization of the distribution of members in groups; Collaboration performance (CO); Knowledge; and skills. The framework for implementing intelligent agents is a reference to the stages of development, component selection techniques, and performance measurement of intelligent agents. Research on the intelligent agent domain still has opportunities to be developed. The results of this study are expected to be intelligent development references for group development.
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