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ABSTRACT

Brain-computer interfaces have been explored for years with the intent of using human thoughts to control mechanical system. By capturing the transmission of signals directly from the human brain or electroencephalogram (EEG), human thoughts can be made as motion commands to the robot. This paper presents a prototype for an electroencephalogram (EEG) based brain-actuated robot control system using mental commands. In this study, Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) and Support Vector Machine (SVM) method were combined to establish the best model. Dataset containing features of EEG signals were obtained from the subject non-invasively using Emotiv EPOC headset. The best model was then used by Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) to classify the EEG signals into robot motion commands to control the robot directly. The result of the classification gave the average accuracy of 69.06%.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A brain-computer interface (BCI) system provides a communication between human brain and a computer by interpreting the intention of the brain via EEG signals. The feasibility of the technique was first demonstrated using brain activity acquired from the intracranial electrodes implanted in the motor cortex of rats [1], and monkeys [2]-[5]. The main problems for the development of reliable signal translation methods are due to the variability of mental activities such as motoric imagery activity and subject characteristics.

In some studies, EEG signals were preprocessed by applying Single Channel Independent Component Analysis (SCICA) [6] and Empirical Mode Decomposition (EMD) [7], the features were extracted using the summation of several power spectrum components before classification. Higuchi fractal dimension was used for classifying the EEG signals recorded from the subjects before and after meditation [8]. Another study was to analyze early Alzheimer EEGs by utilizing the power spectral analysis and other complexity features [9]. Learning styles of 68 participants were assessed via Kolb’s Learning Style Inventory, and the EEG signals were recorded from the prefrontal cortex. The alpha and theta spectral centroid frequencies were utilized as the inputs of k-nearest neighbor classifier to divide the participants into four learning groups, i.e. diverger, assimilator, converger, and accommodator groups [10].

Recently, the most developed technology is Brain Computer Interface (BCI) application. The EEG recorded based on imagery activities is translated by an automation system to derive the original motoric or other cognitive-related intentions. One study in this field was implemented by employing bandpass filter and Common Spatial Pattern (CSP) for noise filtering. Then, the features were extracted using Principle Component Analysis (PCA), and classified by applying Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Logic System [11]. EEG signals of left and right hand movement imagination were extracted by obtaining the DWT coefficients of the EEG beta band. The features were classified into two classes using probabilistic and back propagation neural networks [12].
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Another application, the assessment of different stimulus modalities of video games using Emotiv EEG showed that intensity game play was related to the frequency bands. During high-intensity events, beta and gamma power was significantly increased compared to low intensity events [13]. EEG signals captured by the Emotiv Epoc Headset were applied to control the Spykee mobile robot via a wireless communication. The pattern recognition was carried out by the echo state network [14]. The BCI system for controlling a LEGO Mindstorms NXT robot using Neurosky headset and Emotiv Epoch was evaluated based on participant’s experience with a descriptive label on a 7-point scale. The result had the average of 4.2 and the standard deviation of 1.2 for controlling the robot to move forward and to end the track [15].

The Emotiv EPOC system was studied for the capability to recognize the P300 event-related potential for P300-speller based on the oddball paradigm [16]. The Emotiv EPOC system was also tested and compared with the medical grade system for the auditory ERP research [17] and the P300 speller-like system [18]. The P300 event-related potentials for disable Subjects using stimuli of the six-choice P300 paradigm were classified using singular spectrum analysis (SSA) and multilayer perceptron (MLP) [19], and wavelet transform, MLP, and soft margin SVM [20].

This study employed the Emotiv EPOC to control a mobile robot to move forward, backward, turn right, turn left, and neutral, via EEG imagery motion. The Emotiv EPOC headset has 14 saline electrodes and 2 reference electrodes which are used to collect EEG data from the subject, and transmit the data wirelessly to a computer for processing. Initially, EEG signals of imagery motion were recorded and processed off-line to train the classification system, which consisted of Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) and Support Vector Machine (SVM). Then the obtained model was applied to predict the class of the real-time EEG signals and the result was translated into one of the five motion commands. Since the recognition of the EEG signals was used to control a mobile robot in real-time processing, the sampled EEG signals of 14 channels (after normalization every 0.5 s) were used directly as the feature vector of the classifier.

2. MATERIAL

Raw EEG data were obtained from the EEG data recording of mental command thought of a test subject using the Emotiv EPOC. EEG data recording process was done by using Emotiv Xavier testbench software. The use of Emotiv EPOC device by a test subject is shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. The test subject used Emotiv EPOC headset to record EEG data](image)

EEG was recorded when the test subject thought certain mental commands by imagining the movement of the object. The test subject must focus, relax, and there are not many disturbing movements when doing the mental commands. We used “Mental Command Suite” to help the test subject do the mental commands that allowed the test subject to control the motion of a 3-dimensional cube using his mind. The 3D cube can move up, down, left and right according to the test subject’s mind, as shown in Figure 2. The mental command suite is included in Emotiv Xavier software.

From the process of recording the data, we obtained raw EEG data consisting of 5 classes of motion: neutral, forward, backward, turn right and turn left. Each class had 5 minutes of data and 14 features (of 14 Emotiv EPOC sensor channels), as shown in Figure 3. The EEG sampling rate was 128 Hz.
3. RESEARCH METHOD

The system classification to control the mobile robot is illustrated in Figure 4. It consisted of three stages, i.e., preprocessing, processing, and post-processing. The recorded EEG called the raw EEG was used to train the classifier off-line. Then, the model of the classifier predicted the on-line class of the real-time EEG.

3.1. Preprocessing

This stage aimed to eliminate the noise signal and to normalize the data before the data were processed further. The processes that were carried out at this stage was Butterworth bandpass filtering and...
normalizing data. Butterworth bandpass filters are causal and of various orders. We used a Butterworth bandpass filter of 4th order with lower and upper cutoff frequencies 29 Hz and 40 Hz, respectively.

The EEG data from the Emotiv EPOC had 14 attributes from 14 electrode channels and sampled at 128 Hz. The data were then normalized separately for each channel. Normalization was performed every 0.5 s of data (64 samples) using the following formula,

\[ Y = \frac{X - \bar{X}}{30} \]  

(1)

where the number 30 is the average signal amplitude of the headset.

3.2. Processing

This stage aimed to get the model that was used in the classification process of real-time EEG data. The processes carried out at this stage were to train the combined classifier, i.e. linear discriminant analysis (LDA) and support vector machine (SVM). The interface to process data training is shown in Figure 5.

The selection of training data was determined as random sequences of length N seconds of each class of commands. The N second length sequence was determined by the duration of recording the training data. Then the raw EEG data were obtained by combining all sequences from each class of commands. For testing data, we used the whole EEG data. Illustration of the selection of training data can be seen in Figure 6.

We applied this raw EEG data as the LDA input. The LDA projection was then used as the SVM data input. Support Vector Machine is basically a binary classifier. Because the classification had more than two classes, multiple SVMs with a one-against-one scheme were built. After the SVM training, we obtained the model for classifying real-time EEG data. The output class was then translated to a motion command. The motion command class determination was performed every 0.5 s for 64 samples of EEG data. The display of the real-time EEG classification process is shown in Figure 7.
3.3. Post-processing

After the classification process, motion commands were sent to move the robot. The next command was sent to the robot if the class obtained was different from the previous one. The motion command was sent in the form of a single character and transmitted via serial communication. The robot used was a wheeled robot that could move forward, backward, turn right, and turn left. The robot was connected wirelessly with BCI program using XBee PRO transmitter. The physical form of the robot and transmitter can be seen in Figure 8.

Figure 8. The motion command was sent from BCI to the robot wirelessly (a) A wheeled robot that could move forward, backward, turn right and turn left (b) Transmitter robot XBee PRO which connected the robot with a Brain Computer Interface (BCI) wirelessly

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For the first scenario, the system was tested for the classification process of the combined LDA and SVM by varying the recording duration. Lower and upper cutoff frequencies were 29 Hz and 40 HZ, respectively. The results indicated that, the accuracy was better for longer duration of time up to 25 s and the accuracy for the neutral position was the highest, as shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recording Time (second)</th>
<th>Forward (%)</th>
<th>Backward (%)</th>
<th>Right (%)</th>
<th>Left (%)</th>
<th>Neutral (%)</th>
<th>Average Accuracy (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>61.11</td>
<td>58.96</td>
<td>61.09</td>
<td>63.56</td>
<td>74.08</td>
<td>63.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>54.32</td>
<td>57.93</td>
<td>60.53</td>
<td>61.05</td>
<td>73.02</td>
<td>61.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>63.79</td>
<td>63.35</td>
<td>64.01</td>
<td>60.21</td>
<td>81.59</td>
<td>66.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>64.38</td>
<td>66.49</td>
<td>69.29</td>
<td>62.69</td>
<td>83.80</td>
<td>69.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>65.39</td>
<td>69.99</td>
<td>68.25</td>
<td>64.59</td>
<td>83.33</td>
<td>70.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>70.99</td>
<td>64.59</td>
<td>66.81</td>
<td>71.21</td>
<td>85.75</td>
<td>71.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>69.02</td>
<td>60.50</td>
<td>61.31</td>
<td>64.78</td>
<td>90.54</td>
<td>69.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>70.83</td>
<td>66.78</td>
<td>70.02</td>
<td>65.80</td>
<td>81.47</td>
<td>70.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>64.53</td>
<td>65.06</td>
<td>67.45</td>
<td>58.89</td>
<td>90.72</td>
<td>69.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>67.56</td>
<td>54.75</td>
<td>69.50</td>
<td>68.95</td>
<td>87.24</td>
<td>69.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For the second scenario, the classification process was also performed using various values for lower and upper cutoff frequencies of the Butterworth bandpass filter. Both test variables were combined and performed for 10 iterations for each combination. Each combination was tested using a 30 second recording time. Detailed testing of the accuracy of this scenario is shown in Table 2. Based on the results of this scenario, the most optimal average accuracy was 70.28% for lower and upper cutoff frequencies 28 Hz and 39 Hz, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Lower cutoff frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Upper cutoff frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Average Accuracy (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>58.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>68.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>67.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>67.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>69.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>63.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>65.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>70.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>68.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the third scenario, the classification was carried out for 2, 3, 4, and 5 classes with a 30 s recording time. The average accuracy for 2, 3, 4, and 5 classes was 89.45%, 82.50%, 76.30%, and 67.52%, respectively.

All of the above scenarios applied the combined LDA and SVM. The combined LDA and SVM produced a good model for classifying EEG data for mental commands to control robot motion. The classification of 5 classes with a 30 s recording time, showed that the combined LDA and SVM yielded better accuracy than using only LDA and SVM separately. The average accuracy for LDA, SVM, and the combined LDA and SVM were 56.13%, 67.42%, and 69.06%, respectively.

5. CONCLUSION

From the results, it can be concluded that classifying EEG mental command data using a combination of LDA and SVM provides better performance than using LDA and SVM separately. This can produce an accuracy of at least 60% by varying the cutoff frequencies of the Butterworth bandpass filter by about 29 Hz and 40 Hz for lower and upper cutoff frequencies. The recording time of 25 or 30 seconds maintains its accuracy greater than 65%. The experiments show that smaller number of classes provide greater accuracy with the maximum average accuracy of 89.45%.
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