Pre-trained Bi-LSTM model for automated classification of ventricular arrhythmias using 1-D and 2-D ECG
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ABSTRACT

Number of cardiac conditions have been associated with abnormal heartbeat (arrhythmia) such as ventricular fibrillation (Vfib), ventricular flutter (Vfl), and ventricular tachycardia (Vta). This is a difficult and essential job for timely clinical assessment and identification of these potentially life-threatening heart arrhythmias. With the aid of a one-dimensional electrocardiogram (ECG) signal and its associated two-dimensional image, the suggested method provides a strategy for the detection of time-frequency interpretation (Vfib, Vfl, and Vta). A four-stage cascaded Savitzky-Golay (SG) filter is used after a 2-stage median filter to preprocess the ECG signal. This technique employs z-score normalisation after brief (2 sec) ECG readings. The classification of these ECG segments (1-D) and associated time-frequency representation pictures (2-D) was explored separately using a bi-directional long short-term memory-based network. Eight distinct categorization scenarios were examined, and then an average accuracy of 99.67% for 1-D ECG and 99.87% for 2-D ECG signal was attained.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The physiological functions of the heart are electrically portrayed by the electrocardiogram (ECG) [1]. Any alteration in the ECG signal’s morphology indicates a heart problem. Cardiovascular diseases are the major cause of death worldwide, and not just in middle- and lower-income nations [2], [3]. The most frequent reasons for cardiovascular fatalities are ventricular fibrillation (Vfib), ventricular flutter (Vfl), and ventricular tachycardia (Vta) [4]. In order to effectively treat Vfib and Vta patients, automatic external defibrillators (AEDs) as well as implanted cardioverter defibrillators (ICDs) should be able to distinguish between Vfib and Vta successfully and consistently [5]. Therefore, accurate and prompt detection of cardiac problems may contribute to preventing mortality from heart failure or stroke [6]. Manual ECG analysis requires a high level of expertise from the cardiologist in order to detect cardiovascular problems. The ECG is normally examined by a cardiologist to look for irregularities, which renders the process lengthy and frustrating and reduces the diagnostic effectiveness [7]. Three distinct classes of premature ventricular contractions (PVC, normal, and all other beats as one class) are identified in [8]. The application of statistics to the features that characterise ECG signals was demonstrated in [9]. The development of multilayer perceptron (MLP) neural network designs that can recognise ECG signal variability is described in [9]. They have proposed a three-stage method made up of units for denoising, feature extraction, and classification. Despite the fact that there were very few recordings
collected for the experiment, the precision was not as intended. The ECG signal is preprocessed by employing discrete wavelet transform, extracted the statistical features and are fed to the support vector machine (SVM) for categorization [10]. Castro et al. [11] extracted the characteristics like heart rate variability, pressure wave (P-Wave), and QRS complex from the ECG and fed to feedforward neural network for categorization of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. Nugroho et al. [12] proposed an efficient model which can able to forecast coronary artery diseases and achieved good accuracy by effectively tuning hyperparameters.

Recently, especially with the application of artificial intelligence (AI), automatic categorization of different cardiac problems has increased tremendously. Asmae et al. [13], a synthetic minority oversampling strategy is used to address issues with imbalanced classes. To conduct an empirical assessment, many researchers employed long short-term memory (LSTM), bidirectional long short-term memory (Bi-LSTM) [14]–[19]. Using an unbalanced dataset, atrial fibrillation (Afib) was automatically classified in [20] using a hybrid convolutional neural network (CNN)-LSTM approach. As it can be applied directly to one dimensional recurrent neural network (RNN) as well as CNN models, deep learning (DL) may be utilised to achieve one-dimensional ECG data classification. Consequently, using an AI model designed for a 2-D image to characterize a 1-D signal is not possible [7]. As a result, processing the 1-D ECG signal for arrhythmia classification using 2-D image classification approaches is best accomplished through signal conversion into the spectral domain. Time-frequency approximations have been employed in many applications for efficient interpretation of non-stationary signals like sonar, radar, biological, multimedia data, seismic, and more. Utilizing temporal frequency distributions is the most widely used technique [21]. For a variety of frequency-modulated signals, several scientists have diligently attempted to identify an ideal distribution [22]–[24] There have been several time-frequency distributions proposed.

After segmentation and preprocessing, our proposed approach prepares the dataset by utilizing z-score normalisation. The one-dimensional ECG data was transformed into the model’s two-dimensional visual representation in order to use it (i.e., in time-frequency domain). Our suggested solution makes use of a Bi-LSTM oriented DL model to classify ECG in both 1-D and 2-D. The paper is formatted as follows: the databases used for this study and underlying methodology for this suggested technique are discussed in section 2. The findings are presented in section 3, the discussion is presented in section 4, and a succinct conclusion is presented in section 5.

2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

The ECG datasets used in this study were taken from the MIT-BIH malignant ventricular ectopy database (MVED) and creighton university ventricular tachyarrhythmia database (CUVDB) [25], [26]. 35 eight-minute ECG recordings of human patients with sustained spells of Vta, Vfl, or Vfib can be found in the CUVDB. The MVED has 22 half-hour ECG recordings of individuals who experienced persistent ventricular tachycardia, ventricular fibrillation, and ventricular flutter. From each record, required slices are selected depending on the annotations. CUVDB contains 35 eight-minute ECG recordings of human subjects who had sustained Vfib, Vfl, and Vta episodes. These rhythms are incredibly difficult to capture in high-quality, making them crucial for the creation and assessment of ventricular arrhythmias [25].

In this section, we provide our proposed method for automatic Vfl, Vfib, and Vta categorization using an ECG image AI-based idea. The five steps of the methodology include preprocessing, segmentation, 2-D image conversion, normalisation, and Bi-LSTM. Figure 1 shows the proposed technique’s process flow.

![Figure 1. Work flow of the proposed technique](image-url)
2.1. Pre processing

The dominant noises in the raw ECG signal are baseline line wander (BW) as well as powerline interference (PLI). The technique’s performance is considerably improved by reducing contamination from the original ECG, which is an essential phase. The noise present in the signal makes it difficult to identify the state of the heart. To obtain a BW free ECG at the preprocessing stage, the raw ECG signal is passed via a two-stage median filter [27]. The PLI noise contained in the ECG signal is then removed using a four-stage Savitzky-Golay (SG) filter [28].

2.2. Segmentation and normalisation

Both datasets contain lengthy ECG records that need to be split before being fed into a DL algorithm. After preprocessing for investigation, long-term ECG signals are divided into short-term ECG segments (2 sec). 500 samples, which are enough for the DL model, are present in a 2-second slice [29], [30]. Figures 2(a) to (d) show the segments of the normal, Vfib, Vfl, and Vta signals, respectively.

![Sample segments of; (a) normal heartbeat rhythm segment, (b) ventricular fibrillation segment, (c) ventricular flutter segment, and (d) ventricular tachycardia segment](image)

The segmented ECG signal is then normalised. By maintaining the signal inside the range, this method enables DL models to train more rapidly and accurately. To normalise the signal, the z-score method was employed. The mathematical formula is provided by (1):

\[
x(n) = \frac{h(n) - \mu}{\sigma}
\]

where \(h(n)\) denotes original signal, \(x(n)\) represents the normalised signal, \(\mu\) is mean, and \(\sigma\) is standard deviation.

2.3. Time-frequency image conversion

The time-frequency (T-F) image is a 2-D (2-D) representation of the energy distribution of a signal. The frequency content of a signal is described by prior spectrum analysis techniques without revealing the precise locations of the signal’s individual frequency components. The short-term Fourier transform is used to receive the frequencies in each sector of the spectrogram, which divides the signal into shorter terms (STFTM). By a spectrogram of smaller sections, the normalised and square magnitude of the STFTM parameters are displayed. The energy present in the STFTM spectrogram is equal to the energy in the time–frequency signal. Figures 3(a) to (d) depicts time-frequency representations of normal, Vfib, Vfl, and Vta segments respectively.
2.4. Feature extraction

The effectiveness of the proposed method can be improved by feature extraction, such as instantaneous frequency ($f_{\text{ins}}(t)$) and spectrum entropy (SPEN). The signal time-dependent frequency, which was obtained using (2), is determined by the $f_{\text{ins}}(t)$ [32].

$\begin{align*}
f_{\text{ins}}(t) &= \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} fQ(t, f)df}{\int_{0}^{\infty} Q(t, f)df} \tag{2}
\end{align*}$

where $Q(t, f)$ is spectrogram power spectrum of the ECG signal.

The distribution of the signal spectrum is also computed by SPEN using the distribution power spectrogram. The normalised power distribution’s Shannon entropy is computed in the frequency domain by the SPEN, which interprets the signal as a probability distribution. A signal’s power spectrum as well as probability distribution function is used to generate the SPEN equation. In (3) Vakkuri et al. [33] was used to compute the SPEN:

$\begin{align*}
SPEN &= - \sum_{m=1}^{N} Q(m) \log_{2} Q(m) \tag{3}
\end{align*}$

where $Q(m)$ is the probability distribution.

2.5. Bidirectional long short term memory

The LSTM was developed by Hochreiter and Schmidhuber to overcome vanishing gradient problems, particularly in ANN designs [34]. A kind of RNN called LSTM is used to handle sequential data processing, including voice identification, genome exploration, image categorization, as well as other classifications [35]. The ANN’s inability to handle temporal data well and its dependency on previous input for future input are two issues that the RNN network resolves. A hidden layer resembling memory cells is used by LSTM [36]. The three gates: the input gate ($i_{g}$), output gate ($o_{g}$), and forget gate ($f_{g}$), govern the memory unit, which saves the temporal information traveling through it. The $i_{g}$ checks the input sequence and prior concealed state to see if the input is still worth saving, and then utilises it to gate new memory module. The $f_{g}$ is identical to the $i_{g}$, but it does not use the input sequence to determine whether or not the preceding memory unit may be used to estimate the current memory unit. In the LSTM, the $o_{g}$ is not clearly visible. These gates provide...
ranges between zero and one by using the sigmoid as an activation function. A value of 0 in the activation function denotes a closed gate, while a value of 1 denotes an open gate. No data can pass through the gate when it is closed, but all data can pass through when the gate is released [37]. The internal structure of a single LSTM unit is shown in Figure 4. The LSTM cell’s restrictions, which only permit the use of previous data, must be circumvented. Schuster and Paliwal [38] proposed bidirectional recurrent neural networks (BiRNNs), that are composed of two separate LSTM hidden layers with similar output but in opposite directions. This design uses insights from the past and the future in the output layer. In Bi-LSTM, an input sequence X = (X1, X2, ..., Xn) is calculated in the forward direction as $h_f = (h_1^f, h_2^f, h_3^f, ..., h_n^f)$ and backward directions as $h_b = (h_1^b, h_2^b, h_3^b, ..., h_n^b)$. This cell’s final out is created by both $h_f$ and $h_b$ the final sequence of out reads $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3, ..., y_n)$. The basic structure of Bi-LSTM network is depicted in the Figure 5.

The Bi-LSTM network is designed with a sequence input layer, where the sequence input is equal to one for a 1-D representation and two for a 2-D representation. Next comes a 100-hidden-unit Bi-LSTM layer, then a fully connected layer with a 4-dimensional inner space, and finally an activation function titled softmax in the output layer. The “crossentropyex” loss function-based final classification layer follows the fully connected layer. In (4)-(8) describe the mathematical specifics for the gates in Bi-LSTM:

$$f_g = sigmoid(W_{xf}x_t + W_{fh}h_{t-1} + b_f)$$ (4)

$$i_g = sigmoid(W_{ix}x_t + W_{ih}h_{t-1} + b_i)$$ (5)

$$o_g = sigmoid(W_{ox}x_t + W_{oh}h_{t-1} + b_o)$$ (6)

$$c_t = c_{t-1} \odot f_g + i_g \odot \tanh(W_{cx}x_t + W_{ch}h_{t-1} + b_c)$$ (7)

$$h_t = o_g \odot \tanh(c_t)$$ (8)

where $c_t$ denotes current state cell, $h_t$ represents current hidden state, and $\odot$ depicts element-wise multiplication of vectors.
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3. RESULTS

Data from CUVDB and MVED were used to evaluate the method’s performance for four distinct categories of Vfib, Vfl, Vta, as well as normal. MATLAB 2020a software was used to conduct the experiments on a desktop computer with an Intel i7-series processor running at 3.12 GHz and 16 GB of RAM. In this work, the identification of normal, Vfib, Vfl, as well as Vta in 1-D and 2-D representations was carried out using the Bi-LSTM, and the outcomes were verified using other established methods. The 10-fold cross-validation process of the LSTM model was used to train and evaluate the proposed neural network model. For the Bi-LSTM model to perform classification during research, the following aspects were chosen: Adam was chosen as the optimizer, with a batch size of 100 and a learning rate of 0.01. Each investigation was simulated with the 30 epochs in consideration to ensure the consistency of the model. For binary class classification, a total of 11396 normal, 1200 Vfib, 1000 Vfl, and 1200 Vta segments are utilised. 90 percent of them i.e., 10360, 1080, 900, and 1080 samples of normal, Vfib, Vfl, and Vta, respectively, were used for training and the rest 10 percent of the data i.e., 1036 normal, 120 Vfib, 100 Vfl, and 120 Vta is employed for testing.

The results are presented on binary class classification utilizing two approaches: 1-dimensional ECG and its time–frequency representation as inputs. Table 1 depicts the confusion matrix (CMX) elements obtained by using test data for different classification strategies. To assess the classification’s performance, we employed performance metrics such as accuracy (ACCY%), sensitivity (SEN%), specificity (SPY%), and positive predictivity (PP%). The metrics mentioned afore were calculated using:

\[
ACCY\% = \frac{(TP + TN)}{(TP + FN + FN + FP)} \times 100
\]

\[
SE% = \frac{(TP)}{(TP + FN)} \times 100
\]

\[
SPY\% = \frac{(TN)}{(TN + FP)} \times 100
\]

\[
PP\% = \frac{(TP)}{(TP + FP)} \times 100
\]

where TP denotes true positive, FP represents false positive, TN is true negative, and FN denotes false negative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Experimentation</th>
<th>TP</th>
<th>FP</th>
<th>FN</th>
<th>TN</th>
<th>SEN(%)</th>
<th>SPY(%)</th>
<th>PP(%)</th>
<th>ACCY(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-D</td>
<td>Normal Vs Vfl</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>96.15</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal Vs Vfib</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>99.17</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vfib Vs Vfl</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal Vs Vta</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>96.75</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.17</td>
<td>99.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vfib Vs Vta</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>99.17</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vfl Vs Vta</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>99.01</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal Vs Vfib + Vfl</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>98.61</td>
<td>99.33</td>
<td>96.82</td>
<td>99.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal + Vfl Vs Vfib</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1135</td>
<td>99.17</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>703.13</td>
<td>98.50</td>
<td>99.90</td>
<td>99.50</td>
<td>99.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-D</td>
<td>Normal Vs Vfl</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>97.09</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal Vs Vfib</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>98.35</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.17</td>
<td>99.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vfib Vs Vfl</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal Vs Vta</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1135</td>
<td>99.17</td>
<td>99.91</td>
<td>99.17</td>
<td>99.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vfib Vs Vta</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vfl Vs Vta</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>124.63</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>703.75</td>
<td>99.10</td>
<td>99.96</td>
<td>99.74</td>
<td>99.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1. Experimentation with 1-D electrocardiogram

When no additional features could be recovered in this experiment, the output from the Bi-LSTM prototype using 1-D ECG was obtained. After preprocessing, segmentation, and normalisation, the database was subsequently compelled to use Bi-LSTM for classification. This dataset was divided into segments that

---
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were each $1 \times 500$ pixels long. The Bi-LSTM prototype used in this study uses a bi-directional LSTM layer (100 hidden units), a fully linked layer (4 units, softmax activation), and a final output classification layer to produce the results (crossentropy loss function).

The experimental results obtained using 1-D ECG are depicted in the Table 1 under the row labeled ‘1-D’. From the Table 1 under the row labeled ‘1-D’, we infer that we have achieved ACCY% of 100 in the case of Vfib Vs. Vfl. The average ACCY% obtained for different classification strategies is 99.67. The average SEN%, SPY%, and PP% obtained for different classification strategies are 98.5, 99.9, and 99.5 respectively.

3.2. Experimentation using time–frequency (2-D) representation of electrocardiogram

In this study, the dataset for the Bi-LSTM structure was created using the 2-D ECG format. The 1-D ECG data’s time-frequency description was produced via the spectrogram. The instantaneous frequency and spectral entropy of the spectrum were computed for each segment of the dataset. The time-frequency representation of the ECG was combined with the Bi-LSTM model for categorization. The developed model is put to the test using several categorization strategies, which are discussed in the preceding section.

The experimental results obtained using 2-D ECG are depicted in the Table 1 under the row labeled ‘2-D’. From the Table 1 under the row labeled ‘2-D’, we infer that we have achieved highest ACCY% of 100 in the case of Vfib Vs Vfl, Vfib Vs Vta, and Vfl Vs Vta. The average ACCY% obtained for different classification strategies is 99.87. The average SEN%, SPY%, and PP% obtained for different classification strategies are 99.1, 99.96, and 99.74 respectively. We have also performed the experimentation for different epoches. The plot showing various accuracies against different epoches for different cases of 1-D and 2-D ECGs is shown in the Figure 6(a) and Figure 6(b) respectively.

![Figure 6](image)

Figure 6. Plot showing the number of epoches against ACCY% for different cases of; (a) 1-D and (b) 2-D ECG

4. DISCUSSION

In prior investigations, the feature extraction stage was used to create data for the feeding classifier that was used to identify Vfib, Vfl, and Vta. As far as we are aware, that also happens with every arrhythmia...
detection method and every categorization technique that relies on biological data [39]. No matter whether a signal’s components are from the frequency domain, the time domain, or the cardiac cycle, features are thought to collect information that is relevant to class discrimination [40]. Thus, deciding which attributes should have been used as classifier input has turned into an unusual challenge for learning algorithms [39]. First, we hypothesised that since features are presumed either explicitly or implicitly from 1-D or 2-D anatomical structure of the ECG signal, time-frequency depiction images could be directly fed into any classification model to achieve the best results, provided that time and frequency details are preserved without any loss [41]. We concentrated on recognising and differentiating normal, Vfib, Vfl, and Vta despite keeping the extent of preprocessing necessary to a minimum in order to verify our hypotheses. The proposed method is contrasted with a number of other methods in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Input Type</th>
<th>Type of arrhythmias</th>
<th>Performance metrics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>Bi-LSTM with Z-score</td>
<td>NRSN</td>
<td>Nl, Vfib, Vfl, and Vta</td>
<td>ACCY(%) 99.67, SEN(%) 98.5, SPY(%) 99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM + AdaBoost</td>
<td>1-D</td>
<td>Vfib</td>
<td>98.20, 98.25, 98.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNN</td>
<td>1-D</td>
<td>Vfib Vs Vta Scenario</td>
<td>81.25, 90.46, 70.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-D CNN</td>
<td>2-D</td>
<td>Shockable rhythms</td>
<td>98.82, 95.05, 99.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANN</td>
<td>2-D</td>
<td>Vfib, Vta</td>
<td>98.19, 95.56, 98.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGC</td>
<td>2-D</td>
<td>Vfib, Vta</td>
<td>98.44, 98.46, 98.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS</td>
<td>1-D</td>
<td>Vfib</td>
<td>94.1, 93.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>1-D</td>
<td>Vfib</td>
<td>96.3, 96.2, 96.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEY</td>
<td>1-D</td>
<td>Vfib</td>
<td>91, 91.84, 90.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNNS</td>
<td>1-D</td>
<td>Vfib, Vta</td>
<td>93.2, 98.1, 88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFNF</td>
<td>1-D</td>
<td>Vfib</td>
<td>91.3, 91.53, 90.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFAM</td>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>Vfib Vs non-Vfib Vta scenario</td>
<td>89.58, 82.02, 97.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>RFAM</td>
<td>Vfib Vs non-Vfib Vta scenario</td>
<td>96.01, 95.64, 96.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>Vfib</td>
<td>95.8, 95.74, 95.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFAM</td>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>Vfib</td>
<td>93.22, 93.73, 95.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFAM</td>
<td>FSMA</td>
<td>Vfib</td>
<td>91.3, 91.84, 90.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFAM</td>
<td>EMDEA</td>
<td>Vfib</td>
<td>97, 97.98, 97.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFAM</td>
<td>RFAM</td>
<td>Vfib</td>
<td>91.2, 90.47, 91.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Approximate entropy is used for distinguishing between Vfib and Vta and achieved a good performance, i.e, ACCY% of 91.3, SEN% of 91.8, and SPY% of 90.2 [5]. SVM is used and was able to achieve an ACCY% of 96.3, SEN% of 96.2, and SPY% of 96.2% [45]. amalgamation of SVM and AdaBoost and achieved ACCY% of 98.20, 98.25 SENY%, and 98.18 SPY% [42]. Panda et al. [30] utilizes deep CNN and obtained ACCY% of 81.25, 90.46 SENY%, and 70.82 SPY%. ECG signal is converted to corresponding 2-D format and fed to 2-D CNN and obtained ACCY% of 98.82 [43]. Sharma and Sunkaria [46] employed random forest algorithm (RFAM) for the categorization of Vfib Vta from non-Vfib Vta and obtained ACCY% of 95.66. Phong and Thien [47] succeeded in obtaining ACCY% of 91.3 by employing RFAM while categorizing Vfib. For computerized external defibrillation and patient monitoring, accurate detection and classification of Vfib, Vfl, and Vta is critical. As a result, having a precise technique to discriminate between Vfib, Vfl, and Vta is critical. Mjahad et al. [41] used ANN is employed for classification of Vfib in 2-D (time-frequency representation) and achieved an ACCY% of 98.19, SEN% of 95.56, and SPY% of 98.8. They employed a bagging classifier for the classification of Vfib in 2-D and were able to achieve 98.44 ACCY%, 98.46 SEN%, and 98.43 SPY%. Our proposed technique has achieved significantly better performance when compared with others in terms of ACCY% and SPY%. The proposed parameter set in [44] is a trustworthy technique for automatic external defibrillator shock advisory techniques because it combines reliable detection and prediction, along with the notion that the decision for defibrillation will take into account both the kind of rhythm and the likelihood of successful defibrillation. The technique used in [49] achieved better SPY% when compared with others. With the help
of empirical mode decomposition (EMD) as well as approximate entropy. [48] developed a new technique for detecting Vfib that successfully achieved an ACCY% of 91. It is therefore obvious that using time-frequency information results in higher performance. Last but not least, the success of the proposed method demonstrates that any accuracy loss resulting from feature selection may be avoided by feeding the neural network directly with the time-frequency representation, enabling the creation of higher-performing arrhythmia detectors.

5. CONCLUSION
The ECG signal is filtered using a two-stage median filter in the proposed approach for the identification of ventricular arrhythmias, followed by a four-stage cascaded SG filter. The outcome of preprocessing stage is then segmented and normalised. Additionally, the 1-D ECG signal is converted into a time-frequency (2-D) representation and provided as an input to a Bi-LSTM network. Eight different classification scenarios are used while classifying things. We have achieved 99.67% and 99.87% average accuracy for 1-D ECG and its 2-D representation, respectively. The suggested approach can be used for automated classification of Ventricular arrhythmias. The performance of the suggested technique can be improved by finely tuning the network parameters. The proposed method can be extended to categorization of other cardiovascular disorders. Hence, the load on the health care professionals can be minimized.
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